

C.P.W.D. ENGINEERS BULLETIN



A Monthly House Journal of
Central P.W.D. Engineers Association
 'B' Wing (Outside End), Ground Floor, I.P. Bhawan
 New Delhi - 110002 | Telefax : 23379413



Vol. II / Issue No. 95

MAR. 2012

PRICE Rs. 8/- PER COPY

Editor :

Er. P.R. Charan Babu
 Mob. : 9868543025

Associate Editor :

Er. A.K. Pandey
 Mob. : 9910622044

Regional Offices :**Eastern :**

Nizam Place
 234/4,
 Acharya J.C. Bose Road
 Kolkata - 700020

Western :

29/983, CGS Colony
 Sector - VII,
 S.M. Plot, Antophill
 Mumbai - 400037

Southern :

Shastri Bhawan
 26, Haddows Road,
 Chennai - 600006

&
 Zones, Branches &
 Unit all over
 the Country

NEWS HIGHLIGHTS

- DoPT has not accepted the Special Career Progression Scheme (SCPS) for CPWD with remarks that this is amalgamation of both the schemes and either ACPS or MACPS has to be adopted in toto. Association is pursuing for individual option instead of cadre option with department and UD ministry.
- Recruitment rule for Executive Engineer is in DOPT for its concurrence and DOPT has some reservation about making Diploma Holder AEs eligible for promotion as EE. Association is insisting to protect the interest of Diploma Holder AEs as existing benefit cannot be withdrawn.
- Next DPC for granting Rs. 7600/- grade pay to eligible Assistant Engineers & Executive Engineers whose date of joining is up to March 1977, is likely to be held in this month.
- Proposal for creation of 16 Project Zones and 9 regular zones (two at Delhi, one each at Jammu, Gandhi Nagar, Thiruvananthapuram (Trivendrum), Bhubaneswar, Ranchi, Raipur and at Agartala), is in the ministry for its approval. Identification of posts up to Assistant Engineer level will be done after approval of the above.
- Membership drive of the Association for the year 2012-13 has to be taken up vigorously at all levels. All office bearers and the members are requested to submit the authorization forms to the DDOs and send the deduction of subscription to Assn and DG office through Check-Off system.

Visit us at www.cpwdea.inE-mail : gs@cpwdea.in

Edited and Published by **Er. P.R. Charan Babu** on behalf of **CPWD Engineers Association**. Published from 'B' Wing (Outside End), Ground Floor, I.P. Bhawan, New Delhi - 110002 Printed from "Ashok Printing Press", Bawana Industrial Area, Delhi-39

Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/14 dated 14/03/12 written to **Shri Kamal Nath, Hon'ble Minister of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi with copy to DG, CPWD**

Subject: Regularization of Ad-hoc Assistant Engineers of CPWD.

Sir,

Kindly recall the discussions held during the meeting of our Association with your kind self in your chamber on 12/03/12. Many important issues related to our cadre were discussed and the issue of regularization of hundreds of ad-hoc Assistant Engineers in CPWD was also discussed inter-alia. It was explained to your good self that since the year 2006 all promotions from JE to AE are being made only on an ad-hoc basis even from seniority quota. The seniority quota v/s LDCE Quota was 50%:50% upto March-2010 and since then it is 80%:20%. All regular vacancies of Assistant Engineers should have been filled in the above ratios and since LDCE is not conducted from the year 2002 till date, at least the seniority quota promotions should have been made on regular basis.

But surprisingly hundreds of AEs promoted from the JE cadre through seniority quota only on ad-hoc basis all these years, some of them being promoted beyond the prescribed quota also. It is high time to regularize all such ad-hoc promotions of AEs at the earliest in view of additional posts created through the recently approved cadre review, to ensure no one is reverted and regularized against their own quota. By regularizing their promotions they can be assigned seniority for other consequential benefits due to them. You have kindly consented with our views.

It is earnestly requested to kindly issue directions to all concerned for regularizing ad-hoc promotions by holding required DPCS at the earliest please.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(P.R.CHARAN BABU)
General Secretary

Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/15 dated 14/03/12 written to **Shri Kamal Nath, Hon'ble Minister of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi with copy to DG, CPWD**

Subject: Issue of open MHA Passes to Assistant Engineers of CPWD.

Sir,

Kindly recall the discussions held during the meeting of our Association with your kind self in your chamber on 12/03/12. Many important issues related to our cadre were discussed and the issue of stoppage of open MHA Passes to Assistant Engineers of CPWD was also discussed inter-alia. It was explained to your good self, that the higher offices of CPWD & PWD like division, circle, zone, region and Architectural and Design Wings are located in many buildings like Nirman Bhawan, Sewa Bhawan, East Block, Krishi Bhawan, North & South Blocks, etc. and the Assistant Engineers have to visit these buildings frequently as a part of their performance of duties in the interest of work. And the access to all such buildings is controlled by security agencies who only honour the open passes issued by Min. of Home Affairs.

In the past, for many decades the Assistant Engineers of CPWD, being Group-B gazetted officer of the Central Government, were being issued such passes besides other Group-A officers like EE and above. But the same is discontinued for the last one year or so, the same is stopped for unknown reasons which is creating many hindrances and difficulties in daily routine works. All similar Group-B gazetted officers in other departments and ministries are still being issued such passes except in CPWD.

It is earnestly requested to issue instructions to all concerned for the restoration of the open passes to Assistant Engineers at the earliest please.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(P.R.CHARAN BABU)
General Secretary

Letter no. CPWDEA/F-6/18 dated 26/03/12 written to **Shri C.S.Prasad, DG, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi with copy to Dr Sudhir Krishna, Secretary**, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

Subject: Delay in renewal of recognition of CPWD Engineers Association.

Ref: Our letter of even No. 26 dated 18/03/2011 and No. 52 dated 03/08/2011

Sir,

Kindly refer to the above cited letters vide which **we have submitted the list of 1536 members of our Association along with the DDO letters** as well as Region wise break up **for the year 2009-10**. More than 9 months time has already elapsed but till date the renewal of our recognition has not yet been conveyed to us.

We are sure that you are well conversant with the CCS (RSA) Rules issued by DoPT from time to time. According to these rules, a service association is recognized as first association provided at least 35% of total working strength of the cadre (in our case AEs & promotee Class-I Engineers) are the members of that association and their membership subscription is verified through check-off system through the concerned DDOs from their pay rolls. **We are the first recognized service association in our cadre commanding more than this required strength** to be granted recognition as primary association and any other association in the cadre can only be granted recognition with 15% member strength as second association, provided the first association is recognized with more than 35% membership strength. Our submitted details are much more than the required minimum strength and the renewal of recognition should have been approval conveyed long back.

However it has come to our knowledge that the process is being delayed mainly due to the indifferent attitude of the administration and also due to interference by the second recognized association, namely All India CPWD Engineers Association. It is learnt that they are questioning the membership details submitted by us, even mentioning the serial numbers and names / DDOs letters etc. submitted by us, which cannot be possible without **leakage of information by insiders in the administration**. We are surprised to note that when this second association was granted renewal of their recognition w.e.f. Aug-2007, their membership alone was verified and counted without cross checking our membership for that year of 2007-08 nor we were asked to submit the details at that time. It is open knowledge that they had managed

barely 16% of the total membership based on which their recognition was renewed. Had the administration cross checked our membership for the same year and deleted the dual members who subscribed for both the associations, we are sure that their strength should have gone much below 15% required as per rules and their renewal could not be possibly approved. Even their original recognition granted during April-2001 was also based on cooked up and false membership strength and it is on record that they could not qualify for renewal after the expiry of initial recognition period of two years and they were declared unrecognized by the ministry. However they got the order stayed from Delhi High Court, which stated that the **Association's responsibility ends with submission of authorization forms to the respective DDOs and it is the duty of the DDO to deduct the membership subscription from the pay bills of the respective members and remit the amount to the association along with intimation to DG office.** As per the Court's orders the renewal was accorded w.e.f. Aug-2007 to that Association without verifying dual membership.

But now we are surprised to note that the said Association is regularly interfering in the process of our renewal making false claims as well as unfounded allegations on our membership strength, with active insider support from the administration and there by delaying the process. We reiterate that the list submitted by us is completely in accordance with the CCS (RSA) Rules and if some DDOs fail to deduct the subscription and remit the same to us, it is not our fault and action should be taken against the concerned defaulting DDOs. Even considering only the DDO verified membership, we command much more than the required percentage. But it is learnt that the second Association has also submitted their membership details for the year 2009-10, without even being asked to do so, only with the intention of delaying the process. There is no other record than the DDO certified membership for verifying the actual membership strength of an association. **We are not averse to any re-verification of the membership through check-off system during any year but we demand the immediate renewal of our recognition as primary Association based on the membership strength for the year 2009-10 along the DDOs letters submitted by us with the list.** Any unwarranted scrutiny instigated by vested interests is not acceptable to us and we demand immediate renewal of our recognition for the next five years as per the rules. Kindly note that **without the recognition of first Association commanding more than 35% strength any second or subsequent Association with merely 15% strength cannot be considered recognized as per the rules. Simply put in words there can be no secondary Association without primary Association.**

Further, as mentioned by us in the above cited letters under reference, we are submitting **a supplementary list of Sixty Eight (68) members along with the copies of DDOs letters** in support of their membership subscription for the year 2009-10 for addition to the already submitted list which totals to 1606 now. We request to you to include the same and grant us immediate renewal of recognition without further delay.

Encl: As above.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(P.R. Charan Babu)
General Secretary

Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/19 dated 26/03/12 written to **Shri C.S.Prasad, DG, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi with copy to Dr Sudhir Krishna, Secretary**, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

Subject: Regularization of adhoc Assistant Engineers.

Ref: Your O.M. No. 30/04/2012-EC-III dated 22/03/12 & 23/03/12

Sir,

Kindly refer to the above cited O.M where in the list of JEs (Electrical) and JEs (Civil) were circulated seeking their ACRs / APARs for considering their names and proposed adhoc promotions to the post of Assistant Engineer against the vacancies of the cadre review. While **it is very heartening to know that the administration is considering the promotion of most stagnated cadre of Junior Engineers, we are more worried about the already promoted adhoc Assistant Engineers who are not regularized for the last many years.** It is a well known fact that since the year 2006, only adhoc promotions of JE to AE are made and barring two batches of electrical AEs for the year 2006-07 & 2007-08, none of the hundreds of adhoc AEs in civil & electrical streams are regularized till date. **Non-regularization of adhoc promotions even against their own prescribed seniority quota, amounts to denial of all consequential benefits to them, since their names do not appear in the seniority lists.**

It is also a well known fact that the **LDCE is not conducted for the last 10 years since the year 2002** and when it will be finally conducted is anybody's guess due to many pending litigations. As per our calculations, more than

360 in Civil and 260 in Electrical posts of AEs fall in the prescribed quota of LDCE since the year 2002-03 to 2011-12. But these many vacancies are not available physically in the department, which means **a part of that are filled through seniority quota on adhoc basis**. We feel the **newly created vacancies after cadre review are the best opportunity for the regularization of adhoc AEs** without any scope of possible reversion due to excess promotion beyond quota. While the newly created posts as a direct result of cadre review can be **filled only through seniority quota, after obtaining relaxation from UPSC as has been done in the past**, the resultant vacancies available against the promotion of AE to EE and above are to be filled as per the prescribed quota of promotion and LDCE according to the recruitment rules only, unless they are diverted.

Hence it is our earnest request that while you continue to make further adhoc promotions, it is high time that the already existing adhoc AEs should also be regularized on Top Priority for granting them real and consequential benefits after determining their seniority position, as adhoc service is not considered as regular service and the date of regular promotion is only considered for fixing of seniority position as per the latest D0PT guidelines. Any delay in regularization will cause more permanent loss to all those hundreds of adhoc AEs

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(P.R. Charan Babu)
General Secretary

To Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/20 dated 26/03/12 written to **Shri C.S.Prasad, DG, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi with copy to Dr Sudhir Krishna, Secretary**, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

Subject: Posting transfer guidelines - Non-completion of tenure by the officers at one station.

Ref: Your O.M. No. 30/28/2011-EC-1 dated 14/03/2012 (Copy enclosed)

Sir,

Kindly refer to the above cited O.M, wherein it was decided that 'whenever

any officer is transferred to his choice station before completion of the prescribed tenure at new station of posting, such transfer shall be considered only as a temporary stay at his choice station for which period has to be specified by the officer in his application. After the temporary period of stay, officer has to go out of station to complete the prescribed tenure there after'. All such transfers will be without TTA/DA even if the transfer is on medical grounds or otherwise. It was also mentioned that the officers should avoid the tendency to seek such transfers and the ADG/CEs are advised not to entertain such requests for transfers.

While some element of discipline is very much necessary in the transfers and postings and the officers seeking premature transfers should be discouraged, **the terms & conditions of the above OM seems to be a bit harsh on genuinely needy persons**, as they will be put to many hardships during the so called temporary stay as well as out station tenure. Such a draconian rule is not conducive to the welfare of the officers and their families as well as the efficient functioning of the department. Unless the welfare of officers is looked after by the administration at least in genuine and deserving cases, the welfare of the department cannot be guaranteed. An organization is as good as its officers only and unless an officer is happy and his welfare is taken care of by the organization, he cannot perform to his best abilities and potential which will ultimately result in more efficient organization.

Hence **we suggest and recommend that the condition should be modified to the extent that any premature request for transfer to the choice station cannot be considered before completion of at least 50% of described tenure at the station**, except in cases of extreme compassion and most deserving cases based medical grounds. And **once the competent authority considers the request favorably after completion of one-half of the tenure or so, the officer can be treated as completed his tenure at outstation**. We request you to kindly re consider you decision and amend the OM to this extent please.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(P.R. Charan Babu)
General Secretary

Shri Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/21 dated 27/03/12 written to Shri C.S.Prasad, DG, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi

Subject: Increase in entertainment charges.
Reference Your Circular No. 13/2/2011-Genl. Dated 2/01/12
 Sir,

Kindly refer to the above cited circular, copy of which is enclosed for ready reference. While the existing limits of entertainment charges have been increased at all levels of SE & above, the same at the level of EEs has remained unchanged from the earlier amount of ₹. 400/- per month which was fixed in the month of Feb-2005. We hope you will definitely agree with us that similar increase should have been made in the case of EEs also extending the same logic and reasons given in the circular itself.

Since the existing limits have been doubled or more than doubled in many cases, it is requested to increase the limit for EEs from ₹. 400/- per month to ₹. 1000/- per month. It is hoped that the increase in entertainment charges will be considered positively and favourable orders are issued at the earliest since such entertainment is mostly meant for clients as well as guests visiting the officers and the expenditure will be beneficial in business development and marketing on which major thrust is being given in the present cadre review.

Encl: As above
 Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,
(P.R.CHARAN BABU)

General Secretary

 Letter no. CPWDEA/F-7/22 dated 27/03/12 written to **Dr Sudhir Krishna Secretary to Govt. of India Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhawan, with copy to Shri C.S.Prasad, DG, CPWD, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi**

Subject: Request for grant of review meeting.
Reference: Earlier meeting held on 09/12/11.
 Sir,

Kindly refer to the meeting held with our Association on 09/12/11 in your conference room in which various issues listed in the agenda were discussed and the decisions were conveyed through minutes of meeting vide letter No. 13/4/2011-S&D(E.W.I.) dated 21/12/11. (Copy of minutes of meeting enclosed for ready reference.)

In the above meeting your kindself have decided to review the progress made in the issues discussed after 2-3 months. Accordingly it is requested to grant a review meeting to our Association to take further necessary action on the issues.

Encl: As above

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely
(P.R.CHARAN BABU)

General Secretary

**DETAILS REGARDING MEETING WITH SH. KAMAL NATH, HON'BLE
UD MINISTER ON 12.03.2012**

Dear Friends,

After much persuasion the Hon'ble Minister of Urban Development, Sh. Kamal Nath has granted meeting with our Association on 12.03.2012 at 6.30 PM in his office. Many important cadre issues have been discussed and his response to our stand on various important issues was very positive. The following brief details are being posted for your information. The following were present in the meeting from association.

1. Sh. Bhupender Singh, President
2. Sh. Kamlakar Singh, Vice President
3. Sh. P.R.Charan Babu, General Secretary
4. Sh. Harpal Singh, Joint Secretary
5. Sh. S.N.Moitra, Finance Secretary
6. Sh. V.P.Singh, Chairman Action Committee
7. Sh. B.Biswas, Member Executive Committee

- (i) Issues related to ACPS / MACPS: Since it is already delayed for more than 3 years, we requested for early grant of Illrd up-gradation to all eligible AEs and EEs in a time bound manner for which the Minister readily agreed. On the issue of granting similar benefits to those Engineers who joined service after 01/09/1984 who could not complete 24 years of service as on 31/08/08 and hence could not get IInd ACP scale of ₹. 6600/- and are on the verge of being deprived of that scale altogether due to provision of MACP Scheme. The Minister responded that the Special Career Progression Scheme of CPWD, recommended by him to DOPT and Finance Ministry will be able to solve the problem. We informed him that it is learnt by us that the Department of Expenditure and DOPT are not agreeing to SCPS and they are returning the file to modify the recommendations so that there is no combination of ACPS and MACPS in SCPS. It is also learnt that we may

have to choose either ACPS or MACPS for the cadre as a whole perforce. We requested him in such circumstances, he may recommend at least individual option to choose between ACPS and MACPS and to take up the matter with the Finance Minister and DOPT Minister at his level. He readily agreed to our suggestion and asked us to meet him again after the file is returned back by the DOPT with their observation.

- (ii) As regards to the grant of higher pay scale to AEs, we requested him to at least grant parity of pay with all other Group B Gazetted Officers of Central Government by granting ₹. 4800/- G.P. and non functional up-gradation to ₹. 5400/- G.P. after 4 years as has been granted to many Group B Gazetted posts like CSS, CSSS, ITOS, Account Officers, Railways etc. We made this request as it is learnt by us that the UDM's recommendation for grant of ₹. 5400/- G.P. in PB-3 on the basis of Para 3.4.7 of 6th CPC Report is not accepted by the Finance Ministry as it is a Group A scale which cannot be granted to Group B. For this suggestion, he readily consented and asked us to meet him again after the file comes back from the Finance Ministry with rejection.

We also requested him to restore open passes issued by MHA to all AEs which was stopped some time back, as being gazetted Group B Officer, the Assistant Engineer has to visit many buildings with restricted entry in his performance of official duties and all similar Group B Officers are being issued those passes. He agreed to it in principle and asked us to take up the matter separately for issuing instructions to all concerned.

- (iii) We conveyed our thanks for approving the amended RRs in which separate Quotas for diploma and degree with 9 & 7 years service along with a rider to protect senior graduate vis-à-vis junior diploma holder as mandated by CAT (PB) in a related case, and recommending to same to DOPT for approval. At the same time we requested him to introduce the "failing which clause" to ensure regular supply of feeder cadres and also to reduce stagnation in our cadre. To this proposal he asked us to take up the matter separately with him.

- (iv) We also sought immediate regularization of all adhoc AEs against their prescribed quota since the year 2006-07 and also against the newly created posts of AEs in the recently approved cadre review. He readily agreed to this suggestion. We also requested him to take pro active steps to settle the pending court cases and ensure early regularization of all adhoc EEs and SEs in view of the recent increase of posts in cadre review. He assured us to issue necessary directions in this regard. Lastly we requested him to kindly approve the transfer and posting guidelines for EEs and SEs with the request that the proposal to post/transfer elected officer bearers of

recognized associations which is against the Govt. rules. We told him to that this matter has already taken up with him separately and he assured to look into the matter and decide at the earliest. The meeting ended with thanks to him.

As above our association will be taking up all the issues one by one with the Hon'ble Minister to their logical conclusion.

(P.R.Charan Babu)
General Secretary

No : CPWDEASR/2012/140

Dated 12-03-2012

CIRCULAR

The following resolutions were passed in General Body Meeting of CPWD Engineers Association (SR) held on 9th March 2012 (Friday) at Rajaji Bhawan, Chennai 600090.

Central Office Bearers Er.Bhupendra Singh, President Er . P.R.Charanbabu General Secretary, Er.Harpal Singh, Jt.Secretary, Er.P.N.Pandey, Jt.Secretary, Office Bearers of CPWDEA(SR) from Hydrabad, Bangalore, Cochin, Calicut, Trichy, Mysore, Puducherry & 91 members from Chennai were present during the meeting.

1. MACP & Special Carrier Progression : As many as 169 Civil AE's,51 Electrical AE's, 41 Nos Civil EE's & 14 Electrical AE's are due for 3rd MACP from Southern Region. Though the First List of MACP was released by the Directorate, was based on First Come basis, it was resolved that all the members due for MACP should be taken in phased manner, so that no members joined in the particular year are left out. It is also resolved that members who are retiring during the year 2012 should be given preference so that their pension & other benefits need not be worked out subsequently. Shri.P.N.Pandey, Joint Secretary of Central office has been nominated to Monitor the same in the Directorate.

In respect of special carrier progression it was resolved that the Ministry to be pursued vigorously so that no discrimination between Juniors & Seniors

2. Entry of Higher Qualification in the Seniority List of AE's (Before Cadre Review)

It is observed from the Seniority list published by the Directorate, that degree Qualification is not up dated to many of the AE' s Civil/ Electrical inspite of intimation to the Directorate. Not updating the Degree Qualification against the member, during the cadre review may lead to supersession of Juniors than the Seniors. Shri.Harpal Singh, Joint Secretary of Central office has been nominated to ensure that the Directorate incorporates the higher qualification of the individual.

3. **Cadre Review Posts & Identifying the Projects** : Many members expressed their view that the Existing posts should not be abolished or changed in view of the cadre review. As per the data available in view of the cadre review, the net increase in respect of EE Civil for the Southern region is 9 & 7 in respect of Electrical. Since Most of the vacancies in view of the cadre review is against the New projects, wherever the New Zones are created that should be created in such a way the Zones should be near the Project. Feed Back from the members reveals, that as for as kerala is concerned that the New Zone to be at Cochin (North Kerala) instead of Trivandram (South Kerala) since many new projects are up coming in and around North Kerala. Similarly a New Zone to be created at Vijayawada (Andrapradesh).
4. **Seniority List Of AEs** : Since the Seniority List of AE's (Civil) is already in dispute and court case is already on the GB resolved that we should wait till the out come of the verdict.
5. **Regularisation of Adhoc Promotion (AE's & EE's)** : There is a fear among Adhoc AE's that there may be reversions in case of LDCE (from JE to AE) is carried on. It was resolved that regularization of all adhoc AEs against their prescribed quota since the year 2006-07 and also against the newly created posts of AEs in the recently approved cadre review
6. **Incentive for acquiring Higher Qualification** : Many members who have acquired higher education qualification is yet to get their one time incentive. The Directorate to be pursued immediately so that the members who applied for incentive are not deprived of their legitimate right.
7. **Design & Planning Allowance enhancement** : Rs 600/- & Rs 320 are being presently paid as Design & planning allowance up to the level of AE's who are working in Design & planning units. Many Members felt the allowance is too small and to be enhanced at least at par with training allowance i.e @ 15%. It was also requested that the Executive Engineers who are working in planning units should also be paid as the nature of jobs are identical. Central office should take up the matter with the directorate in this regard.
8. **Additional Point** : Adhoc SE's Continue to work in the Upgraded Divisions: Some of the Superintending Engineers working in the up graded divisions are continue to work in the same divisions in spite of their posting to regular circles/District Valuation office, their by preventing AEs promotion to EE post. The Directorate should be pursued to post Executive Engineers to such upgraded divisions so that the SEs who were posted are relieved immediately.

(Er. S. Gopalakrishnan)
Regional secretary

MOST IMMEDIATE

No. 10/02/2011-E.III/A
Government of India
Ministry of Finance
Department of Expenditure

New Delhi, the 19th March, 2012

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject :- Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008 - Date of next increment in the revised pay structure under Rule 10 of the CCS(RP) Rules, 2008.

In accordance with the provisions contained in Rule 10 of the CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, there will be a uniform date of annual increment, viz. 1st July of every year. Employees completing 6 months and above in revised pay structure as on 1st of July will be eligible to be granted the increment. The first increment after fixation of pay on 1.1.2006 in the revised pay structure will be granted on 1.7.2006 for those employees for whom the date of next increment was between 1st July, 2006 to 1st January, 2007.

2. The Staff side has represented on this issue and has requested that those employees who were due to get their annual increment between February to June during 2006 may be granted one increment on 01.01.2006 in the pre-revised scale.

3. On further consideration and in exercise of the powers available under CCS(RP) Rules, 2008, the President is pleased to decide that in relaxation of stipulation under Rule 10 of these Rules, those central government employees who were due to get their annual increment between February to June during 2006 may be granted one increment on 1.1.2006 in the pre-revised pay scale as a one time measure and thereafter will get the next increment in the revised pay structure on 1.7.2006 as per Rule 10 of CCS(RP) Rules, 2008. The pay of the eligible employees may be re-fixed accordingly.

4. In so far as the persons serving in the Indian Audit and Account Department are concerned, these orders are issued in consultation with the Comptroller & Auditor General of India.

(Renu Jain)
Director

No. 6-1/2011-NS.II (Pt.)
Ministry of Finance
Department of Economic Affairs
(Budget Division)

New Delhi, the 26th March, 2012

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sub: Revision of interest rates for small savings schemes.

The undersigned is directed to refer to Ministry of Finance's O.M. of even number dated 11th November, 2011, vide which the various decision taken by the Government on the recommendations of the Shyamala Gopinath Committee for Comprehensive Review of National Small Saving Funds (NSSF), were communicated to all concerned.

2. One of the decisions of the Government based on the recommendations of the Committee relates to revision of interest rates every financial year, to be notified before 1st April of that year. Accordingly, the rates of interest on various small savings schemes for the financial year 2012-13 effective from 1.4.2012, on the basis of the interest compounding/payment built-in in the schemes, shall be as under:

<u>Scheme</u> <u>w.e.f. 1.12.2011</u>	<u>Rate of Interest</u> <u>w.e.f. 1.4.2012</u>	<u>Rate of Interest</u>
Saving Deposit	4.0	4.0
1 year Time Deposit	7.7	8.2
2 year Time Deposit	7.8	8.3
3 year Time Deposit	8.0	8.4
4 year Time Deposit	8.3	8.5
5 year Recurring Deposit	8.0	8.4
5 year SCSS	9.0	9.3
5 year MIS	8.2	8.5
5 year NSC	8.4	8.6
10 year NSC	8.7	8.9
PPF	8.6	8.8

3. Necessary Notifications, including those requiring amendments to rules of small savings schemes will be notified separately.
4. This has to the approval of Finance Minister.

(Shaktikanta Das)
Addl. Secretary to the Govt. of India

ELECTION

The following members are unanimously elected as the office bearers of Guwahati Branch for the Year 2012-13.

Branch President	:	Er. B.j. Paul, AE, TCED, CPWD.
Branch Secretary	:	Er. U.C. Das, AE, PD-II, CPWD.
Branch Jt. Secretary	:	Er. Umananda Deori, AE, BFL, SD, CPWD.
Branch Treasurer	:	Er. Jayanta Rabha, AE(P), PD-II, CPWD.

Executive Members :

Er. R.K. Sharma, Ex. En. GED-II, Guwahati;
Er. D.C. Boro, Ex. En. IBBFLD, Silchar;
Er. Saraswati Konwar, TCD, Tezpur;
Er. Pankaj Pal, TCED, Tezpur;
Er. Bichittar Singh, PD-II, Guwahati;
Er. Ramen Bora, AAWD, Borjhar, Guwahati;
Er. S.S. Lamba, GED-I, Guwahati;
Er. R.K. Mittal, PD-II, Guwahati;
Er. Parameswar Das, GCD, Guwahati;
Er. Suresh Chand, ACC-I, Guwahati.
